# United States Patent and Trademark Office

fu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,721	08/13/2003	Shan-Wen Chang	112.P77290	1720
HEAVERTON, OR 07006			EXAMINER	
			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
BEAVERTON, OR 97006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/604,721	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin P. Misleh	2622	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corre	espondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of App this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidav places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compa a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be time periods:	eal. To avoid abandonment of vit, or other evidence, which pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date.	te of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIF TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of th under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e fee. The appropriate extension fee y set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Netice of Appeal was filed on	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 C AMENDMENTS	oid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will	not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE b	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduci appeal; and/or	ing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejecte	ed claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compl	iant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, time non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11 - 15. Claim(s) objected to: 18. Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 10, 16, 17, and 19 - 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the dat entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal ar showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3	nd/or appellant fails to provide a 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	is below or attached.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in co See Attached Sheet.	ndition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13.  Other:	
	12
	LINYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2622

1

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are 1. not persuasive.

### Official Notice

- 2. Applicant argues, "the Examiner has taken Official Notice of the concepts and advantages of providing a lens set in an optical viewfinder that is capable of moving relative to the housing and being aligned with the optical viewfinder. Assignee respectfully traverses the Examiner's findings and respectfully requests that the Examiner provide documentary evidence to support his conclusions."
- 3. Applicant additionally argues, "The Examiner has also taken Official Notice of the concepts and advantages of further including an optical viewfinder with a lens set having a piano-concave lens and convexo-concave lens. Assignee traverses the Examiner's findings and respectfully requests that the Examiner provide documentary evidence to support his conclusions."
- In response to Applicant's request for documentary evidence, the points towards US 4. 7,012,647 (Shintani) and US 6,809,772 (Motta). Both Shintani and Motta each disclose a compact digital camera with an optical viewfinder (see Shintani figure 13 and Motta figures 2 and 3). Shintani provides a feature that adjusts the optics within the optical viewfinder to correspond to the focus and zoom of the photographing optical system (see column 5, line 51 – column 6, line 34). Motta provides a feature that the optics within the optical viewfinder shares the optical path with the photographing optical system (see column 3, line 16 – column 4, line 53). Both Shintani and Motta disclose a lens set in an optical viewfinder that is capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/604,721

Art Unit: 2622

7

moving relative to the housing and being aligned with the optical viewfinder and an optical viewfinder with a lens set having a piano-concave lens and convexo-concave lens."

## **Prior Art**

- 5. Applicant additionally argues, "Assignee respectfully contends that it would not have been obvious to combine Bunte and Correa because, for example, there would be no motivation to combine the documents, nor would there be a likelihood of success for such a combination because such a combination would alter and/or destroy the functionality of Bunte's device.

  Specifically, Bunte has a light 614 as an illumination source that illuminates a target. In the office action, the Examiner proposes substituting the light of Bunte for the laser disclosed by Correa and putting a mask over the laser to create a laser viewfinder (see page 5 of the office action). This modification would render the device of Bunte inoperative because the light would no longer illuminate the entire target, which is the purpose of the light. See, for example, column 11, lines 4 t-44, where Bunte describes illumination of the target area "as is required for image capture purposes." See also column 14, lines 32-45, where Bunte discloses various illumination modes."
- 6. The Examiner respectfully disagrees Applicant's position. First, Bunte neither shows the target being illuminated nor describes in sufficient specificity how the target is to be illuminated. Second, images can be captured in complete darkness; hence, illuminating "as is required for image capture purposes" is relative. Thus, contrary to Applicant's assertion, it is impossible to conclude that Bunte's entire target must be illuminated. Finally, Applicant merely assumes that if the laser and mask of Correa were applied to Bunte, then there would not be enough illumination "as is required for image capture purposes." Applicant has not provided any

Application/Control Number: 10/604,721

Art Unit: 2622

evidence as to why this would be the case. Therefore, the combination of Bunte and Correa is

valid and will be maintained.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571.272.7372. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM

November 5, 2007

Page 4